```
1
                     - JENNIFER BURDIS -
2
      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3
      FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4
      ULKU ROWE,
                     Plaintiff,
5
6
                                 Case No.
                                 19 Civ. 08655(LGS)(GWG)
7
                     v.
8
      GOOGLE LLC
9
                     Defendant.
10
       ----- X
11
      DATE: December 9, 2020
12
      TIME: 11:37 a.m.
13
14
                   VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION
15
      OF JENNIFER BURDIS, held via Zoom, pursuant to
16
      Notice, before Hope Menaker, a Shorthand Reporter
17
      and Notary Public of the State of New York.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                       - JENNIFER BURDIS -
 2
                   MR. GAGE: Objection.
 3
            Α.
                   No.
                   Did Mr. Grannis discuss with you how
 4
            Q.
       the technical director role would fit within OCTO?
 5
            Α.
                   Yes.
 6
7
                   And what did he say?
            Q.
                   I don't remember what he said
            Α.
 8
       verbatim.
 9
10
                   My understanding of the position
11
       fitting into the organization was that we would be
12
       hiring senior individual contributors into L 8,
       L 9 roles to create an Office of the CTO which
13
       would -- was brand-new at Google and had not been
14
15
       done before, and these individuals would be
16
       industry specialists in their industry vertical.
17
                   Okay. So I'm going to be adding two
18
       documents to the Box and I'll let you know when to
19
       refresh, if you have that open.
20
            Α.
                   Sure.
21
                   Okay. You should be able to see them
            Q.
2.2
       now if you refresh.
23
                   I am refreshing.
            Α.
                   MR. GAGE: You said "them." I have
24
25
            one document. Is it more than one?
```

1 - JENNIFER BURDIS -2 qualifications for the technical director role, where -- where in GHire would that be located? 3 It would be under the requirements in 4 5 GHire where there is a section to input minimum qualifications. 6 7 Do you recall what those minimum qualifications were with respect to the technical 8 director role? 10 Α. I don't. Okay. So how did you determine 11 Ο. whether a candidate was a match for the technical 12 director role? 13 MR. GAGE: Objection. 14 15 Maybe you could rephrase. Α. 16 Sure. So when a candidate applied Q. for the technical director position, what factors 17 18 did you use to determine whether they would be 19 brought in for an interview? So there were a number of different 20 21 factors taken into account. Industry experience 2.2 would be one of them. The extent of a candidate's 23 experience and years of experience would play a 24 part in that decision. Relevant experience with 25 regard to the specific area of technology and also

1	- JENNIFER BURDIS -
2	for the industry verticals we were hiring for,
3	relevant experience within that domain.
4	Q. Anything else?
5	A. Educational background is also
6	another factor, so we consider.
7	Q. Okay. So when you said that years of
8	experience played a part in your determination,
9	can you speak more about that? What were the
10	years of experience that you considered?
11	MR. GAGE: Objection.
12	A. The the boundaries of years of
13	experience are not concrete, so that's difficult
14	to answer.
15	Q. Okay, and so at the time that the
16	candidates came in for an interview, had you made
17	an assessment about their level?
18	A. An initial one, yes.
19	Q. So this was a preinterview initial
20	assessment?
21	A. Yes.
22	MR. GAGE: Objection.
23	Q. Okay. Was there any policy that laid
24	out how years of experience translated into level?
25	MR. GAGE: Objection.

```
1
                       - JENNIFER BURDIS -
       we were considering Ulku at Level 8. I don't
 2
 3
       remember exactly which documents that information
       would reside on.
 4
                   Okay, and did you always document
 5
            Ο.
       your assessment, your preinterview assessment, for
 6
7
       a candidate's level?
            Α.
                   Yes.
 8
                   And did you review any criteria in
 9
            Q.
10
       making that assessment?
11
            Α.
                   Yes.
                   And what did you review?
12
            Q.
13
            Α.
                   The minimum qualifications and the
       factors that I previously listed when we consider
14
15
       where a candidate might fit within the
16
       organization level-wise.
                   Okay, and did you document this in
17
       GHire?
18
19
            Α.
                   I don't recall --
                   MR. GAGE: Objection.
20
                   I don't recall if it's written in
21
            Α.
2.2
       GHire.
23
                   Do you recall whether it's written
       anywhere else?
24
25
                   MR. GAGE: Objection.
```

1	- JENNIFER BURDIS -
2	notification of SVP approval and at that point
3	you the recruiter can extend an offer.
4	Q. So aside what was in GHire for the
5	technical director position, did any interviewers
6	tell you what their decision to hire someone was
7	based on?
8	A. Everything will be in GHire.
9	Q. And did Will Grannis make a
10	recommendation to the hiring committee?
11	MR. GAGE: Objection.
12	A. There was no hiring committee.
13	Q. And so you would assess Mr. Grannis'
14	recommendation as well as the interviewers to
15	determine whether to extend an offer?
16	MR. GAGE: Objection.
17	A. My extending the offer was purely
18	based on SVP approval.
19	Q. And the SVP would review the package
20	that you prepared?
21	A. Correct.
22	MR. GAGE: Objection.
23	Q. So who made the decision about what
24	level a candidate for technical director would be
25	hired at?

- JENNIFER BURDIS -
A. Many people through the process.
Q. And who are those?
A. The final decision would be the SVP
to review and approve, but the checkpoints of
deciding where a candidate would reside level-wise
through the process would include the recruitment
team, the interview panel, the hiring manager, and
the two SVP reviewers.
Q. And who was the SVP in this in
this, for the technical director position?
MR. GAGE: Objection.
A. Diane Greene had access to Ulku's
packet or Holtz and Sridhar. The two additional
SVPs were Urs, U-R-S, Holtz and Sridhar. I can't
recall his last name enough to be accurate.
Sridhar, S-R-I-D-H-A-R. Sridhar.
Q. So who made the final decision with
respect to Ms. Rowe's level?
MR. GAGE: Objection.
A. The SVP I just listed.
Q. And was that doc was that decision
documented anywhere?
A. Yes, in GHire.
Q. And did Mr. Grannis make a final

1 - JENNIFER BURDIS -2 enough to speak to those factors. 3 Q. Okay. Do you recall generally what the criteria was for most Level 8s? 4 5 Α. I mean, outside of what I previously explained in relation to the factors that we 6 7 consider when assessing level, number of years experience play a part and relevant work 8 experience in a candidate's work history plays a 10 part. For the office of the CTO specifically, the 11 industry vertical where the candidate is a 12 specialist and an expert would also play a part. 13 So the previous roles held also would play a part. Okay, and then if you scroll 14 15 down -- well, not too far down. It's actually the next line. Do -- did you tell ER that the same 16 17 leveling factors are used across the board in 18 staffing? 19 Α. I don't recall saying that specifically. There are similarities when 20 21 assessing levels for candidates across the board, 2.2 but they are guideline-based; and there may be 23 some nuances when looking at certain job families or job ladders that might differ from one to the 24 other. 25